

Autogr. XXII, 3i K43

BERKELEY

Dec. 2. 1898.

Honored Colleague!

I thank you heartily for your kind note of Nov. 9th, calling my attention to the notice of "The Conception of God" in the last number of Kantstudien. I had already seen it. It is a very good notice; and yet I must regret that Prof. Creighton has omitted to mention one of the chief points in my part of the book, viz. my challenge of the Kantian and post-Kantian tenet that knowledge is not possible except of objects that fall within the single "unity of apperception" of the knowing Subject. This, as I view it, is the one thing of chief philosophical interest in my essay; and, especially in a journal devoted to Kant and studies upon Kant, it should seem to have called for particular mention. My position in this matter constitutes, as I believe, (and am

201.1.17.100

(prepared to show) a genuinely new philosophical departure.

X I am much pleased to learn from your note, that you intend to mention my "Real Issue in The Conception of God" in the next issue of Kantstudien, and to call attention to its Formalizing of "the antinomy of the moral world."

I congratulate you upon the continued and very marked success of your journal.

Very sincerely yours,

J. H. Howison.

Prof. Dr. H. Vaihinger,
Redaction der Kantstudien,
in Halle a/S.

